

Meeting Summary

Date: November 7, 2025

29 Road Interchange at I-70, NEPA/1601 Project

Meeting Name: 29 Road Interchange at I-70 NEPA Next Steps

Meeting Date: November 7, 2025

Invitees:

Invitee	Organization	Attended?	Invitee	Organization	Attended?
Alex Pulley	FHU	X	Jen Klaetsch	CDOT	X
Amanda Cushing	FHU	X	Dave Cesark	CDOT	X

Discussion

- ▶ The project is approaching the end of the current contract, and the City will be releasing an RFP for final design of 29 Road and preliminary design of the interchange & finalizing NEPA. Due to the design needs that have not been determined at this time, FHU is proposing to prepare the following for the current contract:
 - Template EA document and only include the Affected Environment with No Action and Proposed Action.
 - The alternatives development and public and agency involvement (to this point) will also be included in the EA.

The next contract will address:

- Environmental Consequences
- Mitigation Commitments.
- The alternatives development and final public and agency involvement will also be included in the EA.

This approach will help set a clear line between the two contracts.

- ▶ CDOT has been working on an update to the 1601 process which is anticipated to be completed in Spring or Summer 2026.

Meeting Summary

- ▶ FHU anticipates that the project will be introduced to the Transportation Commission in Spring 2026.

Decisions Made

- ▶ CDOT initially approves of the Template EA document approach of including only existing conditions; however, FHWA will have the final decision.
- ▶ An update to the public will be required due to its controversial nature.

Next Steps

- ▶ FHU will prepare the draft NEPA Determination Letter for CDOT submission and approval to FHWA.
- ▶ Additionally, FHU will initiate the Class of Action Determination Template (spreadsheet).
- ▶ FHU will submit the remaining tech memos (e.g., air quality, noise, RSTC memo) to CDOT within the next few weeks.
- ▶ FHU will send out a doodle poll to FHWA and others to discuss the Template EA approach. The following folks will be invited:
 - ▶ Lindsay Edgar
 - ▶ Troy Halouska
 - ▶ Dave Cesark
 - ▶ Jen Klaetsch
 - ▶ Liz Kramer
 - ▶ Julian Gonzales

In addition to the approach, the question will be raised if FHWA would prefer to review the tech memos (Affected Environment with No Action and Proposed Action only) or would they prefer to review the full memos (Affected Environment, Consequences, and Mitigation Commitments) with the final EA.